

# THE CONNECTEDNESS HOMOMORPHISM BETWEEN DISCRETE MORSE COMPLEXES

CHONG ZHENG

ABSTRACT. Given two discrete Morse functions on a simplicial complex, we introduce the *connectedness homomorphism* between the corresponding discrete Morse complexes. This concept leads to a novel framework for studying the connectedness in discrete Morse theory at the chain complex level. In particular, we apply it to describe a discrete analogy to ‘cusp-degeneration’ of Morse complexes. A precise comparison between smooth case and our discrete cases is also given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be a finite simplicial complex, with  $f_1, f_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  representing two distinct *discrete Morse functions* on  $K$ . In our previous paper [17], we have studied the (*strong*) *connectedness* between an  $f_1$ -critical simplex  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  and an  $f_2$ -critical simplex  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ , which characterizes the structure of the *gradient vector fields* on  $K$  existing between these two simplices.

Namely, at simplicial complex level, we study the uniqueness of gradient path, the uniqueness and the quantity of strong connectedness, and their correlation with the Betti numbers and the Euler number of  $K$  [17, 18]. Note that the concept was inspired by a similar one in King-Knudson-Mramor Kosta [11], but there are some crucial differences (see Section 2.3).

In this paper, our primary aim is to establish a theoretical framework for understanding the connectedness between discrete Morse functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  at the *chain complex level*. First, we define the *connectedness homomorphism* between two discrete Morse complexes corresponding to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , derived from the simplex connectedness between corresponding critical simplices. Then, we explore some fundamental properties of connectedness homomorphisms. In particular, using these properties, we give an appropriate description of a discrete analogy, called *birth-death transition*, to the cusp-degeneration of critical points of smooth Morse function in Cerf theory [5]. In case of smooth Morse theory, Laudenbach [14] described the change of smooth Morse complexes before and after a cusp-degeneration. We demonstrate that a similar description is applicable within the context of discrete Morse functions. Hence, through Gallais [9] and Benedetti [3], our results recover the main results of [14] but also work for a wider context of any simplicial complexes. This is one of the initial steps in seeking for a discrete analogue to smooth Cerf theory.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Discrete Morse theory, Connectedness, Connectedness homomorphism, Birth-death transitions.

In Section 2, we provide a concise overview of the preliminaries, including the fundamentals of discrete Morse theory which are introduced by Forman [7, 8], as well as the concepts of connectedness and strong connectedness of [17]. In Section 3, we introduce the connectedness homomorphism between two discrete Morse complexes, and investigate some fundamental properties of it. In section 4, we apply the connectedness homomorphism to describe the birth-death transition of the discrete Morse complexes, especially, in Theorem 4.2, we prove that when the connectedness homomorphisms are birth-death transitions, they are chain maps. Furthermore, we establish a clear relationship between the cusp-degeneration in smooth case and that in our discrete case in Proposition 4.5.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

This section presents fundamental concepts for this paper.

**2.1. Discrete Morse Functions and Gradient Vector Fields.** For a comprehensive understanding of *discrete Morse theory* and *discrete Morse homology*, one may refer to [7, 8, 13, 16].

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex,  $K_p$  be the set of  $p$ -dimensional simplices. We use  $\sigma^{(p)}$  to represent a  $p$ -dimensional simplex. When the context is clear, we omit the superscript  $p$ . Notations  $\tau \prec \sigma$  and  $\tau \succ \sigma$  are used to denote  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  is a face of  $\tau$ , respectively.

A *discrete Morse function*  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies for all  $\sigma \in K_p$ ,

- (1)  $\#\{\tau^{(p+1)} \succ \sigma \mid f(\tau) \leq f(\sigma)\} \leq 1$ ;
- (2)  $\#\{v^{(p-1)} \prec \sigma \mid f(\sigma) \leq f(v)\} \leq 1$ ,

where  $\#$  denotes the cardinality of a set.

We say a simplex  $\sigma^{(p)}$  is a *critical*  $p$ -dimensional simplex (of  $f$ ) if

- (1)  $\#\{\tau^{(p+1)} \succ \sigma \mid f(\tau) \leq f(\sigma)\} = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\#\{v^{(p-1)} \prec \sigma \mid f(\sigma) \leq f(v)\} = 0$ .

If  $\sigma$  is a critical simplex, then we call  $f(\sigma)$  a *critical value* of  $f$ . Conversely, if a simplex  $\sigma$  is not critical, then we say that  $\sigma$  is a *non-critical* simplex, and  $f(\sigma)$  is a *non-critical value*. We define

$$Cr_q(f) := \{\sigma \in K_q \mid \sigma^{(q)} \text{ is } f\text{-critical}\}$$

be the set of  $q$ -dimensional  $f$ -critical simplices.

A *gradient vector field*  $V$  corresponding to  $f$  is the collection of pairs  $(\alpha^{(p)}, \beta^{(p+1)})$  satisfying  $\alpha \prec \beta$  and  $f(\alpha) \geq f(\beta)$ . We call such a pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  a *gradient pair*.

A *gradient  $V$ -path* is a sequence of simplices

$$\alpha_0^{(p)}, \beta_0^{(p+1)}, \alpha_1^{(p)}, \beta_1^{(p+1)}, \dots, \beta_r^{(p+1)}, \alpha_{r+1}^{(p)}$$

such that for each  $i = 0, \dots, r$ ,  $(\alpha_i^{(p)}, \beta_i^{(p+1)}) \in V$  and  $\beta_i^{(p+1)} \succ \alpha_{i+1}^{(p)} \neq \alpha_i^{(p)}$ .

We denote a *gradient  $V$ -path*  $\gamma$  consisting of  $p$  and  $(p+1)$ -dimensional simplices from  $\alpha_0^{(p)}$  to  $\alpha_{r+1}^{(p)}$  by

$$\gamma : \alpha_0^{(p)} \overset{V^{(p,p+1)}}{\rightsquigarrow} \alpha_{r+1}^{(p)}.$$

Note that we can also take any  $(p + 1)$ -dimensional simplex  $\beta_0^{(p+1)}$  as the starting simplex, and  $\beta_r^{(p+1)}$  as the ending simplex. Thus, we can denote the corresponding path consisting of  $p$  and  $(p + 1)$ -dimensional simplices by

$$\gamma : \beta_0^{(p+1)} \xrightarrow{V^{(p,p+1)}} \beta_r^{(p+1)}.$$

**2.2. Morse Complexes and Discrete Morse Complexes.** For details of Morse theory and Morse complexes, one may refer to [15, 10]. Let  $M$  be a compact manifold and  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Morse function. The Morse complex has *chain groups*  $S_i^\phi(M)$  as the span of the critical points of index  $i$ . The *boundary homomorphisms*  $\partial_i^\phi : S_i^\phi \rightarrow S_{i-1}^\phi$  are defined in terms of the gradient flow lines of  $-\nabla\phi$ . More precisely, let  $p$  be a critical point of  $\phi$  of index  $i$ , then  $\partial_i^\phi(p) := \sum_\gamma m(\gamma)\gamma(p)$ , where  $\gamma(p)$  is a flow from  $p$  to a critical point of index  $i - 1$ ; and  $m(\gamma) = \pm 1$  depending on the orientation. The Morse complex is defined as  $\{S_*^\phi(M), \partial_*^\phi\}$ , and is isomorphic to the ordinary homology.

A discrete version of Morse-Smale complex is first constructed by Forman [7]. As a discrete analogy to the Morse complex in ordinary Morse theory, the  $q$ -dimensional chain groups are obtained by spanning  $q$ -dimensional critical simplices, and the boundary homomorphisms are obtained by the gradient flows between simplices of consecutive dimensions. Precise definitions are given as follows.

From now on, we suppose that each simplex of  $K$  is oriented. Then, given simplices  $\alpha^{(q-1)} \prec \sigma^{(q)}$ , we use  $[\sigma : \alpha]$  to represent *the incidence number* of  $\sigma$  and  $\alpha$ ;  $[\sigma : \alpha] = \pm 1$ .

Let  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a discrete Morse function. We first define the *chain group* of discrete Morse complex  $C_q^f = C_q^f(K)$  as the free Abelian group generated by  $\sigma_i \in Cr_q(f)$ , and the  $q$ -th *boundary homomorphism*  $\partial_q^f : C_q^f \rightarrow C_{q-1}^f$  is defined as the linear extension of

$$\partial_q^f(\sigma_i) := \sum_{\alpha \in Cr_{q-1}(f)} n^f(\sigma_i, \alpha)\alpha.$$

Here,  $n^f(\sigma_i, \alpha)$  is given by

$$n^f(\sigma_i, \alpha) := \sum_\gamma m(\gamma),$$

where  $\gamma$  runs over all gradient paths  $\sigma_i \xrightarrow{V^{(q-1,q)}} \alpha$ ,  $m(\gamma) := [\sigma_i : \tilde{\alpha}]$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the maximal face of  $\sigma_i$  in  $\gamma$ . We call  $n^f(\sigma_i, \alpha)$  the *connectedness coefficient* between  $\sigma_i$  and  $\alpha$ . In particular,  $\partial_0^f$  is defined as the zero homomorphism.

It is known that  $\partial^f \circ \partial^f = 0$  [7, Theorem 7.1]. Hence,  $\{C_*^f, \partial_*^f\}$  forms the *discrete Morse chain complex*, and the *discrete Morse homology* is defined as

$$H_* := \frac{\text{Ker} \partial_*^f}{\text{Im} \partial_*^f}.$$

Furthermore, discrete Morse homology is isomorphic to the ordinary homology [7, Theorem 7.1].

**2.3.  $\partial$ -Connectedness and Strong Connectedness of Critical simplices.** Let  $f_1, f_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two different discrete Morse functions on  $K$ , and  $V_1, V_2$  be the corresponding gradient vector field, respectively. For  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$ , we say that  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is  $\partial$ -connected to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  if

- when  $q \neq 0$ , there is an  $f_1$ -gradient path

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1^{(q)} \overset{V_1^{(q-1,q)}}{\rightsquigarrow} \tilde{\sigma}_2^{(q)};$$

- when  $q = 0$ , there is an  $f_2$ -gradient path

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1^{(0)} \overset{V_2^{(0,1)}}{\rightsquigarrow} \tilde{\sigma}_2^{(0)}.$$

We call this relationship  $\partial$ -connectedness, and denote it by  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_2$ . From now, when the context is clear, we only call this the *connectedness* between simplices.

Furthermore, we say that  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is *strongly connected* to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  if  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is connected to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  is connected to  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \leftrightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_2$ .

We remark that 0-dimensional connectedness from  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  involves the gradient paths on  $V_2$  that is different from other dimensional cases. Fundamental properties of 0-dimensional simplex connectedness are studied in our previous paper [17]. With regard to the (strong)  $\partial$ -connectedness, several properties including the relationship between the strong connectedness number and the Euler characteristic, are investigated in [17, Section 4].

Also, we note that our definition of  $\partial$ -connectedness is motivated by a similar proceeding notion introduced in [11]. However, it is important to note that the two definitions are not identical for general cases. Compared with the connectedness in [11], which consists of three consecutive dimensions, the  $\partial$ -connectedness in this paper specifies a “direction”, thus only two dimensions are involved.

### 3. THE CONNECTEDNESS HOMOMORPHISM BETWEEN DISCRETE MORSE COMPLEXES

In this section, we construct the *connectedness homomorphism* between discrete Morse complexes of the same simplicial complex.

**3.1. Connectedness Homomorphisms.** Suppose that we are given discrete Morse functions  $f_1, f_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on a simplicial complex  $K$ . Let  $m$  be the dimension of  $K$ . We denote the discrete Morse complexes by  $\{C_*^{f_1} = C_*^{f_1}(K), \partial_*^{f_1}\}$  and  $\{C_*^{f_2} = C_*^{f_2}(K), \partial_*^{f_2}\}$  corresponding to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , respectively.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $q = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . The  $q$ -dimensional connectedness homomorphism from  $C_q^{f_1}$  to  $C_q^{f_2}$

$$h_q : C_q^{f_1} \rightarrow C_q^{f_2}$$

is defined as the linear extension of

$$h_q(\tilde{\sigma}_1) := \sum_{\sigma_j \in Cr_q(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \sigma_j) \sigma_j,$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$ .




FIGURE 1. Connectedness homomorphisms and the boundary homomorphisms.

In particular, when  $q = 0$ , we use  $\tilde{v}_1$  to denote a 0-dimensional  $f_1$ -critical simplex, and the 0-dimensional connectedness homomorphism  $h_0 : C_0^{f_1} \rightarrow C_0^{f_2}$  is defined as the linear extension of

$$h_0(\tilde{v}_1) := \sum_{v_j \in Cr_0(f_2)} n^{f_2}(\tilde{v}_1, v_j) v_j.$$

Note that the connectedness coefficient  $n^{f_2}(\tilde{v}_1, v_j)$  is associated with the connectedness from  $\tilde{v}_1$  to  $v_j$ , which is on the gradient vector field  $V_2$  obtained from  $f_2$ . Technically, when  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 = \tilde{\sigma}_2$ , we let

$$n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2) = n^{f_2}(\tilde{\sigma}_2, \tilde{\sigma}_1) = 1.$$

On the other hand, we can also define the connectedness homomorphism from  $C_q^{f_2}$  to  $C_q^{f_1}$  with a parallel way as

$$g_q : C_q^{f_2} \rightarrow C_q^{f_1}.$$

Thus, we can use the diagram in Figure 1 to denote the connectedness homomorphisms and the boundary homomorphisms of the two chain complexes.

Regarding to the connectedness homomorphism, it is natural to ask the follows:

- (1) Under what conditions  $h = \{h_q\}_q$  and  $g = \{g_q\}_q$  are *chain maps*?  $h$  is called a *chain map* if for any  $q > 0$ ,  $\partial_q^{f_2} \circ h_q = h_{q-1} \circ \partial_q^{f_1}$ . It is equivalent to that the diagram in Figure 1 is commutative.

- (2) Under what conditions  $h$  and  $g$  are inverse to each other, i.e.  $h \circ g = g \circ h = \text{id}$ ?  
(3) Under what conditions  $h$  and  $g$  are *faithful* connectedness homomorphisms?  
(See Definition 3.5)

In the following subsections, we give and explain partial solutions to those questions. A more general answer to those questions requires a comprehensive understanding of connectedness at the simplicial level, thus more discussion will be given in [18].

We begin with directly computing the equation of chain map by employing the definition. The following fundamental but important necessary and sufficient condition to make  $h$  a chain map is straightforward by simple computations.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The following (1) and (2) are equivalent:*

- (1)  $h$  is a chain map.  
(2) For any  $q > 1$  and any  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma_j \in Cr_q(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \sigma_j) \sum_{\alpha_i \in Cr_{q-1}(f_2)} n^{f_2}(\sigma_j, \alpha_i) \alpha_i = \\ \sum_{\alpha_\ell \in Cr_{q-1}(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \alpha_\ell) \sum_{\alpha_i \in Cr_{q-1}(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\alpha_\ell, \alpha_i) \alpha_i; \end{aligned}$$

and for  $q = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma_j \in Cr_1(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \sigma_j) \sum_{\alpha_i \in Cr_0(f_1)} n^{f_2}(\sigma_j, \alpha_i) \alpha_i = \\ \sum_{\alpha_\ell \in Cr_0(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \alpha_\ell) \sum_{\alpha_i \in Cr_0(f_2)} n^{f_2}(\alpha_\ell, \alpha_i) \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We calculate both sides for any  $q > 1$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^{f_2} \circ h_q(\tilde{\sigma}_1) &= \partial^{f_2} \left( \sum_{\sigma_j \in Cr_q(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \sigma_j) \sigma_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_j \in Cr_q(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \sigma_j) \partial^{f_2}(\sigma_j) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_j \in Cr_q(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \sigma_j) \sum_{\alpha_i \in Cr_{q-1}(f_2)} n^{f_2}(\sigma_j, \alpha_i) \alpha_i, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{q-1} \circ \partial^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1) &= h_{q-1} \left( \sum_{\alpha_\ell \in Cr_{q-1}(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \alpha_\ell) \alpha_\ell \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_\ell \in Cr_{q-1}(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \alpha_\ell) h_{q-1}(\alpha_\ell) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_\ell \in Cr_{q-1}(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \alpha_\ell) \sum_{\alpha_i \in Cr_{q-1}(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\alpha_\ell, \alpha_i) \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

We omit the proof for the case  $q = 1$ , as it can be derived through similar computations. □

**3.2. Connectedness and Connectedness Homomorphism.** In this subsection, we discuss the relationship between the connectedness of critical simplices and the connectedness homomorphism.

The connectedness between two critical simplices only depends on the presence of discrete gradient flows. In contrast, the derivation of the connectedness homomorphism, as informed by the connectedness coefficient, needs not only the verification of connectedness but also an understanding of the quantity and the orientation of such connectedness. Hence, it is notably to remark that the relationship between simplex connectedness and the connectedness homomorphism is significantly influenced by the choice of the coefficient in the calculation of the connectedness coefficient.

The number of gradient paths connecting  $\sigma_1$  to  $\sigma_2$  is essential for determining the connectedness coefficient  $n^{f_1}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . When there are multiple such gradient paths, those paths form cycles within the simplicial complex. Although the isomorphism between discrete Morse homology and ordinary (simplicial) homology is natural, the geometric information contained in those two kinds of cycles are different. A discrete Morse cycle always contains a simplicial cycle. However, a simplicial cycle may not contain a discrete Morse cycle.

The following lemma shows a fundamental relationship between those cycles and  $\sigma_2$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that the  $V_1$ -gradient paths connecting  $\sigma_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$  to  $\sigma_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$  form cycles within  $K$ . Then,  $\sigma_2$  does not participate in any cycle.*

*Proof.* A straightforward fact from the definition of connectedness is that if  $\sigma_1$  is connected to  $\sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$ , then  $\sigma_2$  is not critical with respect to  $f_1$ . Thus, by the definition of discrete Morse function, there exists only one  $\alpha_2^{(q-1)} \prec \sigma_2$  that is in the  $V_1$ -gradient pair  $(\alpha_2, \sigma_2)$ . Consequently,  $\sigma_2$  can not participate in any of the cycles. □

Since for each gradient path

$$\gamma : \sigma_1 \xrightarrow{V_1^{(q-1, q)}} \sigma_2,$$

$m(\gamma)$  equals  $\pm 1$ , the parity (odd or even) of the number of such gradient paths depends on the connectedness coefficient. More precisely,

- $n^{f_1}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = 0$  implies the number of gradient paths is even; and
- $|n^{f_1}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)| = 1$  implies the number of gradient paths is odd, where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the absolute value.

On the contrary, the validity of these statements may be false due to the potential presence of torsion in  $K$ . However, if calculations are performed using coefficient  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , then the converse of above statements holds true. On the other hand, when the

homology of  $K$  consists of torsion element, the connectedness coefficient  $|n^{f_1}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)|$  may equal to  $k > 1$ .

Also,  $n^{f_1}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \neq 0$  implies  $\sigma_1$  is connected to  $\sigma_2$ . However, the converse does not hold.

**3.3. Faithful Homomorphisms.** In further investigations and applications of the connectedness between  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , it is necessary to first establish both connectedness homomorphisms  $h$  and  $g$  as chain maps. The concepts of *weak faithfulness* and *faithfulness* are introduced to create a locally one-to-one correspondence of simplex connectedness, which is the case when  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is only connected to one  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$  in Proposition 3.2 (2).

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$ . We say  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is *weakly faithful* to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  if

$$h_q(\tilde{\sigma}_1) = n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2)\tilde{\sigma}_2,$$

where  $n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2) \neq 0$ .

Note that when  $q = 0$ , the connectedness coefficient is associated with  $f_2$ .

Let  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$  be weakly faithful to each other. When the computations are performed with coefficient  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , then  $n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2) = n^{f_2}(\tilde{\sigma}_2, \tilde{\sigma}_1) = 1$ .

Next, we define a stronger correspondence between  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  than the weak faithfulness as follows.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$ . We say  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is *faithful* to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  if  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is weakly faithful to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ , and

$$\sum_{\alpha_1 \in Cr_{q-1}(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \alpha_1) \sum_{\alpha_2 \in Cr_{q-1}(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\alpha_2 = \sum_{\alpha_2 \in Cr_{q-1}(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2)n^{f_2}(\tilde{\sigma}_2, \alpha_2)\alpha_2.$$

If for any  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$ , there exists  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$  such that  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is faithful to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ , then we call  $h_q$  a *faithful homomorphism*. Furthermore, if any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h_q$  is faithful, then we say  $h$  is *faithful*.

With regard to the zero-dimensional connectedness homomorphism  $h_0$ , we present the following proposition to show a basic property of  $h_0$ .

**Proposition 3.6.**  $h_0$  is a faithful homomorphism.

*Proof.* By the definition of discrete Morse function, it is easy to see that for any vertex  $v_1 \in Cr_0(f_1)$ , there exists a unique corresponding vertex  $v_2 \in Cr_0(f_2)$  such that  $v_1$  is connected to  $v_2$ , implying  $h_0(v_1) = \pm v_2$ . Therefore,  $h_0$  is faithful.  $\square$

The above proof also demonstrates that  $h_0$  is injective. Furthermore, under the consideration of coefficient  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $h_0$  can be regarded as a well-defined mapping between the sets of critical vertices  $Cr_0(f_1)$  and  $Cr_0(f_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $f_1, f_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be discrete Morse functions and  $h_q : C_q^{f_1}(K) \rightarrow C_q^{f_2}(K)$  be the connectedness homomorphisms. If  $h$  is faithful, then  $h$  is a chain map.

*Proof.* For any  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$ , suppose that  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is faithful to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ . We compute that

$$\partial_q^{f_2} \circ h_q(\tilde{\sigma}_1) = \sum_{\alpha_2 \in Cr_{q-1}(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2) n^{f_2}(\tilde{\sigma}_2, \alpha_2) \alpha_2.$$

Also,

$$h_{q-1} \circ \partial_q^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1) = \sum_{\alpha_1 \in Cr_{q-1}(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \alpha_1) \sum_{\alpha_2 \in Cr_{q-1}(f_2)} n^{f_1}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \alpha_2.$$

Therefore, by Definition 3.5,  $h$  is a chain map.  $\square$

**3.4. The Connectedness Homomorphism of Optimal Discrete Morse functions.** The concept of faithfulness is introduced to establish a local one-to-one correspondence between two gradient vector fields similar to the optimal discrete Morse function case. Recall that, a discrete Morse function  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *optimal* if for any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\#Cr_q(f) = \beta_q(K)$  [2].

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $f_1, f_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be optimal discrete Morse functions. For any  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$ , there exists a unique  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$  such that  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  are weakly faithful to each other.*

*Proof.* First, we note that any  $q$ -dimensional representative chain (of simplicial homology) consists of at least one  $q$ -dimensional critical simplex. This fact simply follows from the isomorphism between discrete Morse homology and simplicial homology, and the discrete Morse inequalities.

Since  $f_1$  is optimal,  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  corresponds to a  $q$ -dimensional homology class  $[h]$ . The  $f_1$ -gradient flows starting from  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  cover the whole cycle and flow to every  $q$ -dimensional simplex in the cycle only once. Additionally, there exists a unique  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$  in the cycle, because  $f_2$  is optimal. Thus,

$$h_q(\tilde{\sigma}_1) = n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2) \tilde{\sigma}_2 = \pm \tilde{\sigma}_1.$$

A parallel discussion to  $g_q(\tilde{\sigma}_2)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.9.** *If  $f_1, f_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are optimal discrete Morse functions, then  $h$  and  $g$  are faithful homomorphisms.*

*Proof.* When  $f_1$  is optimal, for any  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$ , the Morse boundary

$$\partial_q^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1) = \sum_{\alpha_1 \in Cr_{q-1}(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \alpha_1) \alpha_1 = 0.$$

Thus, the coefficient  $n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \alpha_1) = 0$ , for each  $\alpha_1 \in Cr_{q-1}(f_1)$ .

According to Lemma 3.8, there exists  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \in Cr_q(f_2)$  such that  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  is weakly faithful to  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ . Thus, we compute  $\partial_q^{f_2} \circ h_q(\tilde{\sigma}_1) = h_{q-1} \circ \partial_q^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1) = 0$ .

Therefore,  $h$  is a faithful homomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be optimal discrete Morse functions. The following statements hold true:*

- (1)  $h$  and  $g$  are chain maps;

$$(2) \quad h \circ g = g \circ h = id.$$

The connectedness homomorphisms between optimal functions are particular cases of faithfulness connectedness homomorphisms. Generally, if  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  are faithful to each other, then the connectedness coefficients  $n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_1)$  and  $n^{f_2}(\tilde{\sigma}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_2)$  are 0, 1 or  $k > 1$ . When discrete Morse functions  $f_1, f_2$  are optimal, every connectedness coefficient  $n^{f_1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_1)$  equals 0.

#### 4. MODIFICATION OF THE DISCRETE MORSE COMPLEX NEAR A BIRTH-DEATH POINT VIA THE CONNECTEDNESS HOMOMORPHISM

While discrete Morse theory and ordinary Morse theory share many analogous concepts, the definition of a critical simplex within discrete Morse theory presents unique challenges, especially in the context of depicting a “degenerate critical simplex”. In ordinary Morse theory, the analysis of a degenerate critical point often involves a *perturbation* strategy. A perturbation strategy aims to split the degenerate critical point into a pair of distinct, non-degenerate critical points, thus facilitating further investigation. We seek for a discrete analogy.

**4.1. Birth-Death Transition of Discrete Morse Complexes.** Now, let  $f_1, f_2$  be two discrete Morse functions different from a pair of birth-death point. We then apply the connectedness homomorphisms between  $\{C_*^{f_1}(K), \partial_*^{f_1}\}$  and  $\{C_*^{f_2}(K), \partial_*^{f_2}\}$  to feature a discrete version of the degeneration of critical points in the smooth case. This is a fundamental yet natural scenario to explore before we consider about the elementary cobordism of discrete Morse theory.

We initiate our exploration by defining the context in terms of discrete Morse theory. This involves assuming that the chain complexes  $\{C_*^{f_1}(K), \partial_*^{f_1}\}$  and  $\{C_*^{f_2}(K), \partial_*^{f_2}\}$  satisfy the following assumptions:

We fix a dimension  $i$ . Regarding to the number of  $i$ -dimensional simplices, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} \#Cr_i(f_1) &= \#Cr_i(f_2) - 1; \\ \#Cr_{i-1}(f_1) &= \#Cr_{i-1}(f_2) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

For dimension  $q \neq i, i - 1$ , we assume that

$$\#Cr_q(f_1) = \#Cr_q(f_2).$$

We denote the two redundant simplices  $\tilde{\sigma} \in Cr_i(f_2)$  and  $\tilde{\alpha} \in Cr_{i-1}(f_2)$ , and suppose that

$$n^{f_2}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\alpha}) = k,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Regarding to the connectedness homomorphisms, we assume that for any  $\delta_1 \in Cr_q(f_1)$ ,

$$h_q(\delta_1) = \begin{cases} \delta_1, & \text{if } q \neq i; \\ \delta_1 + n^{f_1}(\delta_1, \tilde{\sigma})\tilde{\sigma}, & \text{if } q = i. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, for any  $f_2$ -critical simplex  $\delta_2$ , we let

$$g_q(\delta_2) = \begin{cases} \delta_2, & \text{if } \delta_2 \neq \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\alpha}; \\ 0, & \text{if } \delta_2 = \tilde{\sigma}; \\ - \sum_{\alpha \in Cr_{i-1}(f_1)} n^{f_2}(\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha)\alpha, & \text{if } \delta_2 = \tilde{\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

Regarding to the boundary homomorphisms, we assume the following equations (1) to (5) hold.

Namely, when  $q \neq i, i+1$  and  $\delta \neq \tilde{\alpha}$ ,

$$(1) \quad \partial_q^{f_1}(\delta) = \partial_q^{f_2}(\delta).$$

For any  $\tau \in Cr_{i+1}(f_2)$ ,

$$(2) \quad \partial_{i+1}^{f_2}(\tau) = \partial_{i+1}^{f_1}(\tau) + \sum_{\sigma \in Cr_i(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tau, \sigma) \cdot n^{f_1}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})\tilde{\sigma}.$$

For any  $\sigma \in Cr_i(f_2)$  and  $\sigma \neq \tilde{\sigma}$ ,

$$(3) \quad \partial_i^{f_2}(\sigma) = \partial_i^{f_1}(\sigma) - n^{f_1}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})(k\tilde{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in Cr_{i-1}(f_1)} k \cdot n^{f_2}(\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha)\alpha).$$

$$(4) \quad \partial_i^{f_2}(\tilde{\sigma}) = k\tilde{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in Cr_{i-1}(f_1)} k \cdot n^{f_2}(\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha)\alpha.$$

$$(5) \quad \partial_{i-1}^{f_2}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha \in Cr_{i-1}(f_2)} n^{f_2}(\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha) \sum_{\omega \in Cr_{i-2}(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\alpha, \omega)\omega.$$

We can check that the above assumptions occur when we cancel the pair of critical simplices  $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\alpha})$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Under the conditions specified, we call  $h$  a *birth transition* and  $g$  a *death transition*. Particularly, when  $k = 1$ ,  $h$  and  $g$  are called *the birth transition of cusp type* and *the death transition of cusp type*, respectively.

Note that in smooth case,  $g$  corresponds to the *cuspidal degeneration* in Cerf theory, where neighboring two critical points are cancelled at a cusp [5, 1]. See also Section 4.3.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $f_1, f_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be discrete Morse functions, and  $h$  and  $g$  be the corresponding connectedness homomorphisms. If  $h$  and  $g$  are a birth and death transition, respectively, then both  $h$  and  $g$  are chain maps.

*Proof.* To prove  $h$  is a chain map, we need to show for any  $\delta \in Cr_q(f_1)$ ,

$$(*) \quad \partial_q^{f_2} \circ h_q(\delta) = h_{q-1} \circ \partial_q^{f_1}(\delta).$$

We note that when  $q \neq i-1, i$ ,  $\delta$  is also  $f_2$ -critical, and  $h_q(\delta) = g_q(\delta) = \delta$ . Thus, if  $q \neq i-1, i, i+1$ , then by Equation 1,  $\partial_q^{f_2} \circ h_q = \partial_q^{f_1} = \text{id} \circ \partial_q^{f_1} = h_{q-1} \circ \partial_q^{f_1}$ .

When  $q = i + 1$ , for any  $\tau \in Cr_{i+1}(f_1)$ , by Equations 1 and 2, we see

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{i+1}^{f_2} \circ h_q(\tau) &= \partial_{i+1}^{f_2}(\tau) = \sum_{\sigma \in Cr_i(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tau, \sigma)\sigma + \sum_{\sigma \in Cr_i(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tau, \sigma) \cdot n^{f_1}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})\tilde{\sigma}. \\ &= h_i\left(\sum_{\sigma \in Cr_i(f_1)} n^{f_1}(\tau, \sigma)\sigma\right) = h_i \circ \partial_{i+1}^{f_1}(\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Also, when  $q = i$ , for any  $\sigma \in Cr_i(f_1)$ , Equations 3 and 4 imply

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i^{f_2} \circ h_i(\sigma) &= \partial_i^{f_2}(\sigma + n^{f_1}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})\tilde{\sigma}) \\ &= \partial_i^{f_1}(\sigma) - n^{f_1}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})(k\tilde{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in Cr_{i-1}(f_1)} n^{f_2}(\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha)\alpha) \\ &\quad + n^{f_1}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})(k\tilde{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in Cr_{i-1}(f_1)} n^{f_2}(\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha)\alpha) \\ &= \partial_i^{f_1}(\sigma) = h_{i-1} \circ \partial_i^{f_1}(\sigma).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, when  $q = i - 1$ ,  $*$  holds trivially because  $h_{i-1}$  and  $h_{i-2}$  are identities, and  $\partial_{i-1}^{f_1} = \partial_{i-1}^{f_2}$ . Therefore,  $h$  is a chain map.

We omit the parallel proof applied to the connectedness homomorphism  $g$  to prove that  $g$  is a chain map. □

With regard to the birth-death transitions, we remark the following statements.

- $g \circ h = \text{id}$ . Also,  $h \circ g(\delta_2) = \text{id}$ , for any  $\delta_2 \neq \tilde{\sigma}$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}$ .
- $h$  and  $g$  are chain-homotopy inverses to each other, that is,  $g \circ h$  and  $h \circ g$  are chain homotopic to the identities.
- In Definition 4.1, for convenience, we assumed that any  $f_2$ -critical simplex  $\delta_2$  distinct from  $\tilde{\sigma}$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is also  $f_1$ -critical, implying  $h_q = g_q = \text{id}_q$ , for  $q \neq i$ . However, these identical simplices can be extended to a pair of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ -critical simplices, which are faithful to each other. See Example 2 in Section 4.3.

In discrete Morse theory, the set of all discrete Morse functions on a simplicial complex is typically studied by defining an equivalence relation: discrete Morse functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are *equivalent* if their corresponding gradient vector fields  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are identical as sets. This definition ignores the numerical values of the discrete Morse functions, instead focusing on the order of values within each simplex's neighborhood. Also, the gradient vector fields form a simplicial complex, as initially studied in [6]. Furthermore, when  $K$  is a graph, the simplicial complex is the complex of rooted forests, introduced in [12].

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $f_1, f_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be discrete Morse functions and  $V_1, V_2$  be the corresponding gradient vector field, respectively. Then,  $V_1 = V_2$  if and only if  $h = g = \text{id}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $V_1 = V_2$ . It is easy to see that for any  $\sigma_1$ ,  $h_q(\sigma_1) = \sigma_1 = g_q(\sigma_1)$ , because there is no gradient path between two  $q$ -dimensional critical simplices.

The proof for the other side is trivial.  $\square$

Next, we use the connectedness homomorphisms to define the *function connectedness* between two discrete Morse functions.

**Definition 4.4.** We say  $f_1$  is connected to  $f_2$  if both  $h$  and  $g$  are chain maps, denoted  $f_1 \leftrightarrow f_2$ .

Note that neither the simplex connectedness nor the function connectedness is an equivalence relation due to the absence of the transitive property. To illustrate, let  $f_1, f_2, f_3 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be discrete Morse functions and  $\sigma_i \in Cr_q(f_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , represent critical simplices corresponding to each function. The presence of connectedness  $\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_2 \rightarrow \sigma_3$  does not necessarily imply the strong connectedness  $\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_3$ . Alternatively, expressed in terms of the connectedness coefficient,  $n^{f_1}(\sigma_1, \sigma_3) \neq n^{f_1}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \cdot n^{f_2}(\sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ .

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a sequence of discrete Morse functions, and  $C_*^{f_1}, C_*^{f_2}, \dots, C_*^{f_n}$  be the corresponding discrete Morse complexes. If for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , the connectedness homomorphisms  $h_*^i : C_*^{f_i} \rightarrow C_*^{f_{i+1}}$  is either a birth transition or a death transition, then we call the sequence of discrete Morse functions a *birth-death transition sequence*, and any two discrete Morse functions  $f_j$  and  $f_k$  are called *transitively connected*.

**4.2. Comparison of Degenerations in Smooth and Discrete Cases.** In [14], Laudenbach studies the modification of a smooth Morse complex near a degenerated critical point. Notably, under certain conditions, Laudenbach establishes a composition of homomorphisms connecting two smooth Morse complexes, obtained by the Morse functions before and after the degeneration.

Figure 2 presents a diagram illustrating the relationship between the smooth degeneration and the connectedness homomorphisms  $h$  and  $g$ . Within this context, let  $M$  be a smooth manifold,  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Morse functions. The homomorphisms ① are constructed in Laudenbach [14, Section f] to represent the transformation before and after the degeneration; and the discretization homomorphisms ② are first derived by Gallais [9, Theorem 3.1] and then improved by Benedetti [3, Main Theorem A], as noted in Proposition 4.6 below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S_q^{\phi_1}(M) & \xrightleftharpoons{\textcircled{1}} & S_q^{\phi_2}(M) \\
 \downarrow \textcircled{2} & & \downarrow \textcircled{2} \\
 C_q^{f_1}(T) & \xrightleftharpoons[h_q]{g_q} & C_q^{f_2}(T)
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Comparison of the smooth and discrete cases.

**Proposition 4.6** (Main Theorem A of Benedetti [3]). *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a generic Morse function. For any PL triangulation  $T$  of  $M$ , there exist an integer  $r$  and a discrete Morse function  $f$  on the  $r$ -th derived subdivision  $T^r$  of  $T$  such that the smooth Morse complex is isomorphic to the discrete Morse complex obtained by  $T^r$  and  $f$ .*

**Proposition 4.7.** *The diagram in Figure 2 is commutative.*

Proposition 4.7 follows from the followings: homomorphisms ① are chain maps; homomorphisms ② are isomorphisms; and by Theorem 4.2,  $h$  and  $g$  are chain maps. For the detailed constructions of ①, ② and the proofs, one may refer to the papers.

Hence, a relationship between smooth degenerations and discrete birth-death transitions can be established through the composition of the homomorphisms. With regard to the relationship, we make the following remarks:

- The reverse process of this construction is not universally feasible. That is, for a given triangulation  $T$  of some smooth manifold  $M$  and a discrete Morse function  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , it may not be possible to associate  $f$  to a smooth Morse function on  $M$  such that the Morse complex and the discrete Morse complex are isomorphic. (See [3, Main Theorem B])
- Our Definition 4.1 employs general simplicial complexes  $K$ , thus containing the cases of the results obtained by the diagram of Figure 2, in which  $T$  is a triangulation of some smooth manifold. This is exemplified in Example 2 of Section 4.3.

Additionally, we remark that some useful discussions about the simplex connectedness of subdivision are addressed [11, Section 4].

**4.3. Examples of Birth-Death Transition.** For a smooth function  $\phi$  on a manifold with a parameter  $\lambda$ , the cusp degeneration can be described by the normal form:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = x_1^3 + \lambda x_1 \pm x_2^2 \pm \cdots \pm x_m^2.$$

Thus, to study this bifurcation generally, it is essential to understand the one-dimensional case. We consider the corresponding discrete settings as follows.

**Example 1.** The following example illustrates the modification of a discrete Morse complex near a birth-death point through the connectedness homomorphism. Figure 3 depicts two continuous functions,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ , defined over the same interval  $I = [-5, 5]$ .

$\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are used to denote the transformation before and after a cusp degeneration. Besides the endpoints of the interval, function  $\phi_1$  consists of two critical points; and  $\phi_2$  consists of four critical points.

Figure 4 displays the triangulation of the interval  $I$  into a one-dimensional simplicial complex  $K$ . Also, continuous functions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are represented by discrete functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in (a) and (b) of Figure 4. One can check that both of them are discrete Morse functions. Red vertices and edges denote critical simplices with respect to each discrete Morse function. Arrows from 0-dimensional simplices to 1-dimensional simplices represent the gradient pairs.




FIGURE 3. Two Morse functions on the interval  $I$ .

For discrete Morse function  $f_1$ , there are two 0-dimensional critical simplices and one 1-dimensional critical simplex, labeled as  $v_1^1, v_1^2$  and  $e_1^1$ . For discrete Morse function  $f_2$ , there are three 0-dimensional critical simplices and two 1-dimensional critical simplices, labeled as  $v_2^1, v_2^2, v_2^3, e_2^1$  and  $e_2^2$ .

Next, we consider the homomorphisms  $h_q : C_q^{f_1}(K) \rightarrow C_q^{f_2}(K)$  and  $g_q : C_q^{f_2}(K) \rightarrow C_q^{f_1}(K)$ , for  $q = 0, 1$ . One can check by the definition,  $h$  and  $g$  are birth and death transitions corresponding to the  $f_2$ -critical simplices pair  $(e_2^2, v_2^2)$ . Simple computations in mod 2 allow us to conclude that  $h_1(e_1^1) = e_2^1 + e_2^2$ ;  $h_0(v_1^1) = v_2^1$ ;  $h_0(v_1^2) = v_2^3$ . Boundary computations confirm that  $h$  is a chain map:

$$\partial^{f_2} \circ h_1(e_1^1) = v_2^1 + v_2^3 = h_0 \circ \partial^{f_1}(e_1^1).$$

Additionally, similar computations for  $g$  confirm that  $g$  is also a chain map.




FIGURE 4. Two discrete Morse functions on the triangulation of  $I$ .

**Example 2.** In Proposition 4.5, we showed that the birth-death transitions on a triangulation  $T$  of a smooth manifold  $M$  can be realized through the composition of homomorphisms mentioned in Figure 2. However, Theorem 4.2 contains this result




FIGURE 5. A birth-death transition on a simplicial complex that is not a triangulation of a smooth manifold.

as  $K$  in Theorem 4.2 represents a general simplicial complex. We illustrate this fact with the following example.

Figure 5 visually depicts a birth-death transition of the cusp type on a simplicial complex  $K$ , which is not a triangulation of any smooth manifold. Note that although  $e_1^1 \neq e_2^1$ ,  $e_1^1$  and  $e_2^1$  are faithful to each other; and  $v_1^1$  and  $v_2^1$  are faithful to each other, as well. We let  $(e_2^2, v_2^1)$  be the pair of  $f_2$ -critical simplices to be cancelled. Then,  $h$  and  $g$  are birth-death transitions.

That  $h$  is a chain map follows from  $f_1$  is optimal. For  $g$ , we compute that  $g_0(v_2^1) = g_0(v_2^2) = v_1^1$ ;  $g_1(e_2^1) = e_1^1$ ,  $g_1(e_2^2) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\partial_1^{f_2}(e_2^1) = 0$  and  $\partial_1^{f_2}(e_2^2) = v_2^1 + v_2^2$  in mod 2. Consequently,  $g_0 \circ \partial_1^{f_2}(e_2^1) = 0 = \partial_0^{f_1} \circ g_1(e_2^1)$ ; and  $g_0 \circ \partial_1^{f_2}(e_2^2) = 0 = \partial_0^{f_1} \circ g_1(e_2^2)$ . Hence,  $g$  is also a chain map.

Note that the choice of critical simplices for cancellation is not necessarily unique and depends on the orientation of  $K$ . In this scenario, we can choose to cancel either the pair  $(e_2^2, v_2^1)$  or  $(e_2^2, v_2^2)$ . However, regardless of the choice made, the remaining 0-dimensional simplex remains strongly connected to  $v_1^1$ , and  $h$  and  $g$  remain to be birth and death transitions.

Compared with Example 1, the simplicial complex in Example 2 is not locally Euclidean. However, our focus should rather be on the gradient vector fields defined on this simplicial complex. We can decompose the gradient vector fields into a set of gradient paths (See [17, Example 4.9] as an example). Thus, as a basic fact of discrete Morse theory [7, Theorem 3.5], any gradient path does not include cycles, thus ensuring each gradient path is “locally Euclidean. Consequently, the structure of gradient vector fields in Example 2 can be described as a union of the type of triangulations in Example 1.

**Remarks and Future Work.** One of our long-term goal of this series of work is to establish a discrete version of Cerf theory, which is introduced in [5].

The core of Cerf theory is the Cerf diagram, which visually represents the critical value changes of a generic one-parameter family of functions on a manifold. This is pivotal for understanding bifurcations and the structural stability of function spaces. The theory notably applies to gradient vector fields and their trajectories, studying how critical points can be created or eliminated through perturbations, known as births and deaths in the context of smooth Morse theory.

Some discrete counterparts have been considered, e.g., Chari-Joswig [6], King-Knudson-Mramor Kosta [11]. Also recently, there appeared other attempts for discussing a discrete analogy to the smooth Cerf theory, such as Brüggemann [4]. Our approach offers a distinct advantage through the concepts of simplex connectedness and function connectedness, which may lead to more direct geometric understanding: by subdivisions of the gradient vector fields, we describe a discrete analogy of degenerations of degenerated critical simplices [19].

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnold , V. V. Goryunov , O. V. Lyashko , V. A. Vasil'ev, Singularity Theory I. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (1998).
- [2] R. Ayala, L.M. Fernández, D. Fernández-Ternero, J.A. Vilches, Discrete Morse theory on graphs. *Topology and its Applications* 156 (2009), 3091–3100.
- [3] B. Benedetti, Smoothing discrete Morse theory, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. XVI* (2016), 335-368.
- [4] J. Brüggemann, On The Moduli Space of Discrete Morse Functions, arXiv:2312.14032.
- [5] J. Cerf, La stratification naturelle des espaces de fonctions différentiables réelles et le théorème de la pseudo-isotopie. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, Tome 39 (1970), pp. 5-173.
- [6] M. K. Chari, M. Joswig, Complexes of discrete Morse functions, *Discrete Mathematics* 302 (2005) 39-51.
- [7] R. Forman, A User's Guide to Discrete Morse Theory. *Seminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire* 48 (2002), Article B48c.
- [8] R. Forman, Morse Theory for Cell Complexes. *Advances in Mathematics* 134, (1998), 90-145.
- [9] E. Gallais, Combinatorial realization of the thom-smale complex via discrete Morse theory, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze*, pp.229–252.
- [10] M. Guest, Morse theory in the 1990's, arXiv:math/0104155.
- [11] H. King, K. Knudson, N.Mramor Kosta, Birth and death in discrete Morse theory, *J. Symb. Comput.* 78 (2017) 41–60.
- [12] D. N. Kozlov, Complexes of directed trees, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* 88 (1) (1999) 112–122.
- [13] D. N. Kozlov, *Organized Collapse: An Introduction to Discrete Morse Theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 207. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2020.
- [14] F. Laudenbach, Appendix. On the Thom-Smale complex, *Astérisque*, tome 205 (1992), 219-233.
- [15] J. Milnor, Morse theory. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, No. 51, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1963.
- [16] N. A. Scoville, *Discrete Morse Theory*. Student Mathematical Library vol. 90, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2020.
- [17] C. Zheng, Persistent pairs and strong connectedness in discrete Morse functions on simplicial complex I, *Topology and its Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2024.108844>.
- [18] C. Zheng, Connectedness in discrete Morse functions on simplicial complex II, in preparation.
- [19] C. Zheng, in preparation.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, OHKUBO, SHINKUJU-KU,  
TOKYO, 169-8555 JAPAN

*Email address:* `c.zheng@aoni.waseda.jp`